

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/670,408	09/25/2003	Xiaolan Ai	TIMK 8497US	TIMK 8497US 5738	
1688 7	7590 08/05/2005		EXAMINER		
POLSTER, LIEDER, WOODRUFF & LUCCHESI 12412 POWERSCOURT DRIVE SUITE 200			LE, DAVID D		
ST. LOUIS, MO 63131-3615		.00	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3681		
			DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/670,408	AI, XIAOLAN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
David D. Le	3681	

	David D. Le	3001				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of						
, ==	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened starbove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. stutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explored a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered	because			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	iter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or (d)⊠ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	iected claims				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected cidimis.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		omnliant Amendment	F (PTOL -324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	•	omphane milional	(1 102 02 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
the non-allowable claim(s).	nottable il Subiliittea ili a Separate	, unicly med different	icht cameching			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-7 and 9</u> .	•					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,8,and 10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				

RODNEY H. BONCK PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART LINIT 3681

13. Other: _____.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed independent claims, 1, 8, and 10, include new limitations such as the formula for determining the stiffness ratio. These newly presented limitations require further considerations and/or search.